



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,435	09/990,435 11/21/2001		Martin Hillebrand Blees	PHNL000625	3638
7	590	12/18/2002			
Michael E. Marion				EXAMINER	
U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road				CULLER, JILL E	
Tarrytown, NY 10591				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2854	
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/990,435	BLEES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jill E. Culler	2854					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh t with the correspond nc address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 ∧</u>	lovember 2001						
·							
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims	ex parto gadyio, 1000 O.D. 11, -						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No					
application from the International Bur	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.7</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/990,435

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, line 17, the phrase "in or as the liquid" is confusing.

On page 2, line 10, the word "in" is repeated.

On page 3, line 3 and page 7, line 8, it appears that the word "proportion" should be -- portion --.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, on line 5, the word "proportion" is not grammatically appropriate. It appears that applicant may have intended the word to be "portion".

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

3. Claims 6-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they are multiple dependent claims depending on another multiple dependent claim, 5, which cannot serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,435

Art Unit: 2854

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the porous material of the carrier body is distinct from the already claimed carrier body which is permeable to the liquid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,277,819 to Berkland.

Berkland clearly shows a stamp, 10, which comprises a stamp body, 18, with a first side and a second, opposed side, with a structured printing face, 19, at the first side and a reservoir for a liquid at the second side, which stamp body is permeable to the liquid, characterized in that a carrier body, 16, is present between the stamp body and the reservoir and is permeable to the liquid present in the reservoir, and liquid is transported from the reservoir to the printing face during use. Berkland also shows that

the carrier body, 16, has a first side and a second, opposed side, with the stamp body, 18, at the first side and the reservoir at the second side, and comprises channels, 16d, at least a portion of which extends from the first side to the second side of the carrier body. See column 3, lines 11-13. Berkland further shows that the channels, 16d, at the first side of the carrier body each have a diameter which is smaller than the distance between the first side and the second side of the stamp body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkland in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,678,848 to Roser et al.

Berkland teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1-4, except that the reservoir comprises a porous material.

Roser et al. teaches a stamp having a reservoir, 12, comprised of a porous material. See column 3, lines 43-46.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the stamp of Berkland using the porous material of Roser et al. in order to have a well controlled dispersion of ink to the inking surface as taught by Roser et al. See column 4, lines 34-37.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,435

Art Unit: 2854

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jill E. Culler whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1782.

jec

December 11, 2002

Andrew H. Hirshfeld Supervisory patent examiner

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800